IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO. 212 OF 2006

Vinay Agarwal... ..... Applicant.
Versus
Union of India.... ..... Respondent.

Mr. R.R.Pandey i/by Mr. U.S.Samudrala
for the Applicant.
Mr. T.J.Pandian for the Respondent.

CORAM : SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J.

DATED : 22ND JUNE, 2007.

P.C.:

Learned Counsel appearing for the respondent submits that they have no objection, if the applicant agrees to the proposal for appointment of an Arbitrator by the General Manager, Central Railway within eight weeks and all objections and claims of the parties, which have been stated in the application / reply, may be referred to the Arbitrator. Learned Counsel appearing for the applicant states that he has no objection and the application may be allowed in terms of the statement made by the learned Counsel for the respondent.

2. In view of the joint statement made by the learned Counsel appearing for the parties, this arbitration application under Section 20 of the Arbitration and

Conciliation Act may be disposed of. The same is, accordingly, disposed of. The respondent will abide by the statement by appointing Arbitrator within eight weeks from today. Arbitration proceedings shall be concluded expeditiously.

3. However, parties are left to bear their own costs.

Sd/-

CHIEF JUSTICE